

¹ Magistrate Judge Lee specifically advised Plaintiff that she had 14 days in which to object to the Report and Recommendation and that failure to do so would waive her right to appeal. (Doc. 17 at 20); *see* Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b)(2); *see also Thomas v. Arn*, 474 U.S. 140, 148-51 (1985) (noting that “[i]t does not appear that Congress intended to require district court review of a magistrate's factual or legal conclusions, under a de novo or any other standard, when neither party objects to those findings”).

hereby **DENIED**, Defendant's Motion for Summary Judgment (Doc. 15) is hereby **GRANTED**, and this action is hereby **DISMISSED WITH PREJUDICE**.

SO ORDERED this 28th day of August, 2017.

/s/ Harry S. Mattice, Jr.
HARRY S. MATTICE, JR.
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE